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## Introduction

There are two methods for describing the results of a BeadArray experiment. Firstly, we can use bead-level data whereby the position and intensity of each individual bead on an array is known. The methods available for processing bead level data are discussed in: Dunning,M.J et al, Quality Control and Low-level Statistical Analysis of Illumina Beadarrays, Revstat 4, 1-30 and in a separate vignette of beadarray.

Bead summary data can also be used whereby a summary intensity for each bead type on an array is given. The summarised values for a particular bead type can then be compared between different arrays within an experiment. This is the format of the data output by Illumina's BeadStudio application. The methods described within this document are for the analysis of bead summary data which can be obtained using either the BeadChip ( 6 or 8 arrays on a slide) or SAM (arrays organised in 96 well plates) technologies.

At present, beadarray is for the analysis of Illumina expression data only. For a package to analyse Illumina SNP data, see beadarraySNP.

## 1 Citing beadarray

If you use beadarray for the analysis or pre-processing of BeadArray data please cite:
Dunning M, Smith M, Thorne NP, Tavaré, Beadarray: An R package to Analyse Illumina BeadArrays, R News, submitted

## 2 Importing Bead Summary Data

An example data set is included with the beadarray package and can be found as a zipped folder data directory of the beadarray download. Inside this folder you will find three Excel data files and two text files. The Excel files are the raw non-normalised data, a sample sheet and a quality control file for an example experiment. These data were obtained as part of a pilot study into BeadArray technology and comprises of 3 Human- 6 BeadChips with 6 different samples, I, MC, MD, MT, P and Norm hybridised. MC, MD, MT and P are all tumours whereas Norm is a normal sample and I is a sample provided by Illumina.

### 2.1 Description of Files

Reading bead summary data into beadarray requires the three files as given for this example experiment and we now describe these in more detail.

- raw_data.csv - This contains the raw, non-normalised bead summary values as output by BeadStudio and is readable by Excel. Inside the file are several lines of header information followed
by a data matrix with some 48,000 rows. Each row is a different gene in the experiment and the columns give different measurements for the gene. For each array, we record the summarised expression level (AVG_Signal), standard error of the bead replicates (BEADSTDEV), Number of beads used (Avg_NBEADS) and a Detection score which estimates the probability of a gene being detected above the background. Note that whilst this data has not been normalised, it has been subjected to local background correction at the bead level prior to summarising.
- raw_data_sample_sheet - Defines the array IDs and samples placed on each array. In order for this information to be read into beadarray, we require that the 4 th column is a unique identifier for each array in the experiemnt.
- raw_data_qc_info - Gives the summarised expression values for each of the controls that Illumina place on arrays and hence extremely useful for diagnostic purposes. Each row in the file is a different array and the columns give average expression, standard error and detection for various controls on the array. See Illumina documentation for descriptions of control types.

The following code can be used to read the example data into R. Firstly, we have to use the targets.txt file to define the location of the raw data, sample sheet and quality control file. Once this targets information has been read into $R$ we can simply run the function readBeadSummaryData. The default parameters for this function will look for the column headings as described above.

```
> targets <- readBeadSummaryTargets("targets.txt")
> targets
> BSData <- readBeadSummaryData(targets)
> BSData <- readBeadSummaryData(targets)
```


## 3 The BSData object

BSData is an object of type ExpressionSetIllumina which is an extension of the ExpressionSet class developed by the Biocore team used as a container for high-throughput assays. The data from the the raw_data file has been written to the assayData slot of the object, whereas the phenoData slot contains information from sample_sheet and the QC slot contains the quality control information. For consistency with the definition of other ExpressionSet objects, we now refer to the expression values as the exprs matrix which can be accessed using exprs and subset in the usual manner. The BeadStDev matrix can be accessed using se.exprs. The rows of exprs are named according to the row names of the original raw_data file.

```
> BSData
Instance of ExpressionSetIllumina
assayData
    Storage mode: list
    Dimensions:
                BeadStDev Detection exprs NoBeads
Features 47293 47293 47293 47293
Samples 
phenoData
    rowNames: I.1, IC.1, IH.2, ..., Norm.2, P42.2 (18 total)
    varLabels and descriptions:
        Sample_Name: Sample_Name
```

```
        Sample_Well: Sample_Well
        Sample_Plate: Sample_Plate
        Sample_Group: Sample_Group
        Pool_ID: Pool_ID
        Sentrix_ID: Sentrix_ID
        Sentrix_Position: Sentrix_Position
featureData
    featureNames: GI_10047089-S, GI_10047091-S, GI_10047093-S, ..., thrB, trpF (47293 total)
    varLabels and descriptions:
Experiment data
    Experimenter name:
    Laboratory:
    Contact information:
    Title:
    URL:
    PMIDs:
    No abstract available.
Annotation [1] "Illumina"
QC Information
    Available Slots: Signal StDev Detection
    featureNames: 1475542110_F, 1475542113_E, 1475542114_A, ..., 1475542113_D, 1475542113_F
    sampleNames: Biotin, cy3_high, cy3_low, ..., pm, negative
> exprs(BSData)[1:10, 1:2]
            I.1 IC.1
GI_10047089-S 87.8 131.8
GI_10047091-S 161.8 130.8
GI_10047093-S 481.2 401.4
GI_10047099-S 633.7 483.8
GI_10047103-S 1535.6 1186.5
GI_10047105-S 247.5 210.2
GI_10047121-S 113.0 101.3
GI_10047123-S 453.9 306.8
GI_10047133-A 103.6 114.5
GI_10047133-I 118.0 123.1
> se.exprs(BSData)[1:10, 1:2]
    AVG_Signal.I.1 AVG_Signal.IC.1
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
GI_10047089-S & 5.1 & 9.5 \\
GI_10047091-S & 12.0 & 7.9 \\
GI_10047093-S & 21.7 & 24.5 \\
GI_10047099-S & 21.6 & 20.9 \\
GI_10047103-S & 42.7 & 34.5 \\
GI_10047105-S & 12.7 & 11.8 \\
GI_10047121-S & 6.4 & 8.1 \\
GI_10047123-S & 14.0 & 13.1 \\
GI_10047133-A & 6.8 & 6.0 \\
GI_10047133-I & 5.6 & 7.2
\end{tabular}
```

> pData(BSData)[, 1:6]

|  | Sample_Name Sample_Well Sample_Plate | Sample_Group Pool_ID | Sentrix_ID |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I.1 | NA | NA | NA | IH-1 | NA | 1475542114 |
| IC.1 | NA | NA | NA | IC-1 | NA | 1475542114 |
| IH.2 | NA | NA | NA | IH-2 | NA | 1475542114 |
| MC.1 | NA | NA | NA | MC-1 | NA | 1475542114 |
| MD.1 | NA | NA | NA | MD-1 | NA | 1475542114 |
| MT.1 | NA | NA | NA | MT-1 | NA | 1475542114 |
| IC.2 | NA | NA | NA | IC-2 | NA | 1475542110 |
| IH.3 | NA | NA | NA | IH-3 | NA | 1475542110 |
| IC.3 | NA | NA | NA | IC-3 | NA | 1475542110 |
| P.3 | NA | NA | NA | P-3 | NA | 1475542110 |
| P.3.1 | NA | NA | NA | P-3 | NA | 1475542110 |
| Norm.1 | NA | NA | NA | Norm-1 | NA | 1475542110 |
| MC.2 | NA | NA | NA | MC-2 | NA | 1475542113 |
| MD.2 | NA | NA | NA | MD-2 | NA | 1475542113 |
| MT.2 | NA | NA | NA | MT-2 | NA | 1475542113 |
| P42.1 | NA | NA | NA | P-1 | NA | 1475542113 |
| Norm.2 | NA | NA | NA | Norm-2 | NA | 1475542113 |
| P42.2 | NA | NA | NA | P-2 | NA | 1475542113 |

Boxplots of expression may be useful for quality control. Below we show the code to produce boxplots of the $\log 2$ intensities of each array in the experiment. Recall that there are 6 arrays per BeadChip and that differences between chips hybridisations on different days may be expected. In this example the differences in intensity between arrays on the same chip and different chips do not seem too large. However, we can see that the first BeadChip seems to be more variable than the others and in particular the third array on the first BeadChip could be an outlier.

Boxplots of the other slots in BSData can be easily plotted. ${ }^{1}$

```
> par(mfrow = c(1, 2))
> boxplot(log2(exprs(BSData)[1:1000, ]), las = 2)
> boxplot(NoBeads(BSData)[1:1000, ], las = 2)
```
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## 4 Normalisation and Quality Control

In the expression boxplots we notice that there are differences in expression level across a chip and between chips. Therefore we might want to normalise the arrays in the experiment comparable. We also see the the 3rd array has significantly different intensity. The sample on this array is replicated three times on the first chip, so comparing the MA and XY plots for the replicates of this sample can be informative.

Particular genes of interest may be highlighted on the MA and XY plots by using the genesToLabel argument which should match up with the row names in BSData. The labelCol argument can be used to specify a colour for each gene. For simplicity sake we simply highlight the first ten genes in the expression matrix, a possible application might be to highlight control genes on the plot or particular genes of interest.

```
> g = rownames(exprs(BSData))[1:10]
>g
```

    [1] "GI_10047089-S" "GI_10047091-S" "GI_10047093-S" "GI_10047099-S"
    [5] "GI_10047103-S" "GI_10047105-S" "GI_10047121-S" "GI_10047123-S"
    [9] "GI_10047133-A" "GI_10047133-I"
    ```
> cols = rainbow(start = 0, end = 5/6, n = 10)
> plotMAXY(exprs(BSData) [1:1000, ], arrays = 1:3, genesToLabel = g,
+ labelCols = cols)
```



In the top right corner we see the MA plots for all pairwise comparisons involving the 3 arrays. On an MA plot, for each gene we plot the average of the expression levels on the two arrays on the x axis and the difference in the measurements on the y axis. For replicate arrays we would expect all genes to be unchanged between the two samples and hence most points on the plot to lie along the line $y=0$. In the lower left corner of the MAXY plot we see the XY plot and for replicate arrays we would expect to see most points along the diagonal $y=x$. From this MAXY plot it is obvious that the third array is significantly different to the other replicates and requires normalisation.

Both XY and MA plots for a particular comparison of arrays are available separately using plotXY and plotMA

The quality control information which is read in by readBeadSummaryData can be plotted to provide useful diagnostic information. To retrieve this quality control data we can use the QCInfo function. Alternatively, quality control information can be read using readQC.

The QC object contains Signal, StDev and Detection matrices with each row in the matrix being a different array and each column a different control type. An overview of QC can be plotted using plotQC.

```
> QC = QCInfo(BSData)
> QC$Signal[1:3, ]
```

|  | Biotin | cy3_high | cy3_low | cy3_med | gene | hs | house | labeling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1475542110_F | 7551.0 | 32436.0 | 816.6 | 11178.2 | 205.8 | 29498.3 | 7914.2 | 92.9 |
| 1475542113_E | 6137.2 | 28081.0 | 739.4 | 9158.1 | 176.6 | 23302.4 | 6680.7 | 86.1 |
| 1475542114_A | 10255.0 | 41451.7 | 1040.9 | 13176.7 | 320.3 | 30390.5 | 15902.3 | 106.0 |
| mm pm negative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1475542110_F | 3584.5 | 21807.1 | 94.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1475542113_E | 1516.5 | 18619.5 | 88.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1475542114_A | 5738.7 | 27314.2 | 108.7 |  |  |  |  |  |

```
> plotQC(BSData)
```
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The singleQCPlot function allows a particular control type to be plotted across all samples. The type argument must match one of the column names of QC $\$$ Signal and the what argument selects which
of the Signal, StDev and Detection slots to plot. Additional plotting arguments such as a title for the plot, plotting character etc can also be passed to the function. We can also choose to plot on the $\log _{2}$ scale.

```
> par(mfrow = c(1, 3))
> singleQCPlot(BSData, type = "negative", main = "Negative Control Signal",
+ what = "Signal")
> singleQCPlot(BSData, type = "Biotin", log = TRUE, pch = 16, col = "red",
+ lwd = 2, lty = 2, what = "StDev", main = "log2 Biotin Signal")
> singleQCPlot(BSData, type = "Biotin", pch = 16, col = "red",
+ lwd = 2, lty = 2, what = "StDev", main = "Biotin Variability")
```



Illumina also use this quality control information to normalise bead summary data. In a procedure known as background normalisation, the averaged values of all negative controls on a particular array are subtracted from the summarised expression of each gene. This normalisation can be repeated by the function backgroundNormalise. The intended effect of this normalisation is to remove the effects of non-specific binding from the expression values. This effect is more noticable for genes with low expression level and hence can produce negative values.

```
> BSData.bgnorm = backgroundNormalise(BSData)
> range(exprs(BSData)[, 1])
[1] 65.9 45311.7
> range(exprs(BSData.bgnorm)[, 1])
[1] -142.7 45221.5
```

```
> boxplot(log2(exprs(BSData.bgnorm)[1:1000, ]), las = 2)
```



It is possible to use the normalisation methods available in the affy such as quantile, qspline or others. The method of rank invariant normalisation recommended by Illumina may also be applied once a suitable target distribution has been defined. In the following example we define this to be the mean of each row before using the normalize.invariantset to find a set of invariant genes and define a normalising curve using this set and the target distribution.

```
> library(affy)
> BSData.quantile = assayDataElementReplace(BSData, "exprs", normalize.quantiles(as.matrix(exprs(BSData))))
> BSData.qspline = assayDataElementReplace(BSData, "exprs", normalize.qspline(as.matrix(exprs(BSData))))
> T = apply(exprs(BSData.bgnorm), 1, mean)
> BSData.rankinv = assayDataElementReplace(BSData.bgnorm, "exprs",
+ rankInvariantNormalise(exprs(BSData.bgnorm), T))
```


## 5 Differential Expression

Research into the best method for detecting differential expression for BeadArray data is still work in progress. In the meantime, users are able to use the lmFit and eBayes functions from limma on the matrix exprs(BSdata) with a $\log _{2}$ transformation applied.

The following code shows how to set up a design matrix for the example experiment combining the I, MC, MD, MT, P and Normal samples together. We then define contrasts comparing the I samples to the $P$ samples and I to Normal and perform an empirical bayes shrinkage. In this particular experiment, the I and P samples are completely different so we would expect to see plenty of differentially expressed genes.

For more information about lmFit and eBayes please see the comprehensive limma documentation.

```
> design = matrix(nrow = 18, ncol = 6, 0)
> colnames(design) = c("I", "MC", "MD", "MT", "P", "Norm")
> design[which(strtrim(colnames(exprs(BSData)), 1) == "I"), 1] = 1
> design[which(strtrim(colnames(exprs(BSData)), 2) == "MC"), 2] = 1
> design[which(strtrim(colnames(exprs(BSData)), 2) == "MD"), 3] = 1
> design[which(strtrim(colnames(exprs(BSData)), 2) == "MT"), 4] = 1
> design[which(strtrim(colnames(exprs(BSData)), 1) == "P"), 5] = 1
> design[which(strtrim(colnames(exprs(BSData)), 1) == "N"), 6] = 1
> design
```

    I MC MD MT P Norm
    | $[1]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[2]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $[3]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $[4]$, | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $[5]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $[6]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $[7]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $[8]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $[9]$, | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $[10]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $[11]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $[12]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $[13]$, | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $[14]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $[15]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $[16]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $[17]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $[18]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

> fit $=\operatorname{lmFit}(\log 2(\operatorname{exprs}(B S D a t a))$, design $)$
> cont.matrix $=$ makeContrasts(IvsP $=I-P$, IvsNorm $=I-$ Norm,
$+\quad$ PvsNorm $=P-$ Norm, levels $=$ design)
> fit = contrasts.fit(fit, cont.matrix)
> ebFit = eBayes(fit)
> topTable(ebFit)

|  | ProbeID | IvsP | IvsNorm | PvsNorm | F | P.Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9259 | GI_28302130-S | 7.499572 | 7.361939 | -0.137632534 | 937.0935 | $0.000000 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 9260 | GI_28302132-S | 7.694362 | 7.544722 | -0.149640427 | 985.6984 | $0.000000 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 9258 | GI_28302129-S | 6.402578 | 6.512401 | 0.109823045 | 699.3457 | $1.896739 \mathrm{e}-304$ |
| 24442 | GI_6633805-S | 6.288645 | 6.355043 | 0.066398690 | 671.6779 | $1.967835 \mathrm{e}-292$ |
| 25430 | GI_8392890-S | 6.512861 | 5.830264 | -0.682596858 | 669.7313 | $1.378516 \mathrm{e}-291$ |
| 21840 | GI_4501988-S | 6.067112 | 5.813525 | -0.253586595 | 604.0850 | $4.458776 \mathrm{e}-263$ |
| 31186 | Hs.449602-S | 6.605590 | 1.619840 | -4.985750409 | 598.4842 | $1.206731 \mathrm{e}-260$ |
| 19978 | GI_42542384-S | 5.726441 | 5.629671 | -0.096770366 | 546.8808 | $3.109321 \mathrm{e}-238$ |
| 2128 | GI_15149480-S | -5.669899 | -5.671313 | -0.001414323 | 542.2465 | $3.201302 \mathrm{e}-236$ |
| 22143 | GI_4503886-S | 5.617491 | 5.751247 | 0.133756180 | 540.7956 | $1.366055 \mathrm{e}-235$ |
|  | adj.P.Val |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9259 | $0.000000 \mathrm{e}+00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9260 | $0.000000 \mathrm{e}+00$ |  |  |  |  |  |

```
9258 2.990083e-300
24442 2.326621e-288
25430 1.303883e-287
21840 3.514482e-259
31186 8.152844e-257
19978 1.838114e-234
2128 1.682213e-232
22143 6.460483e-232
```

The algorithm for the Illumina method is implemented in the function DiffScore although it not completely accurate at present. To compare array 1 in the experiment to array 10 (ie comparing an I sample to a P) we would use the following code. At present, the DiffScore is only able to make pairwise comparisons between arrays. Notice that some genes appear in both the top lists of the empirical bayes methods and the Illumina method.

```
> df = DiffScore(BSData, QC, cond = 10, ref = 1)
> o = order(abs(df), decreasing = TRUE)[1:50]
> o[1:20]
```

[1] $9259 \quad 92603118625430 \quad 9258 \quad 2444221840 \quad 21844 \quad 5569221431997821864$
[13] $251501210422144222692186523042 \quad 5821 \quad 7612$

## 6 Further Analysis

The clustering functionality available in BeadStudio can be easily performed through $R$ using the hlcust once a distance matrix has been defined. In this example we see that the clusters correspond well to the different sample types. The heatmap function could also be used in a similar manner and principal components analysis is possible using princomp.

```
> d = dist(t(exprs(BSData)))
> plclust(hclust(d), labels = rownames(pData(BSData)))
```
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We have restricted the number of points plotted in order to keep the size of this vignettee small.
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    hclust (*, "complete")

